

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/923,605	08/07/2001	Ronald Mikkenie	NL 000419	1416
75	590 02/05/2003			
U.S. Philips Corporation 580 White Plains Road			EXAMINER	
			GROUP, KARL E	
Tarrytown, NY 10591				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1755	1(
			DATE MAILED: 02/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/923,605

Applicant(s)

Mikkenie et al

Examiner

Karl Group

Art Unit 1755



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover she	et with	the correspondence address			
	or Reply						
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE	3	_ MONTH(S) FROM			
- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the							
mailing date of this communication.  If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.							
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).							
	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nis communication, ev	en if timel	y filed, may reduce any			
Status							
1) 🗌	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			•			
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) \( \overline{\times} \) This act	ion is non-final					
3) 🗆	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	tion of Claims						
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u>			is/are pending in the application.			
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.			
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-10</u>	<del>,</del>		is/are rejected.			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.			
8) 🗆	Claims	are	subjec	t to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Applica	tion Papers						
9) 💢	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) 🗆 accepte	d or b)	$\square$ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is:	a) 🗌	approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.			
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)💢	13) 💢 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) 🔀 All b) 🗆 Some* c) 🗀 None of:							
	1. 💢 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
;	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Bure	au (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a))				
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	•					
14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.							
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.  Attachment(s)							
_	errits) tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Su	mmarv (PT	O-413) Paper No(s).			
	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_		nt Application (PTO-152)			
3) 💢 Inf	3) X Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6)						

Application/Control Number: 09/923605 Page 2

Art Unit: 1755

the invention.

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: It is requested that

titles be inserted into the specification. Also a "Brief description of Drawing" must be included...

Appropriate correction is required.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as

The use of the term "characterized by" is considered to render the claims indefinite since it is not clear if the limitation following this term is required or not.

Claim 4 appears to be outside the scope of claim 1 since it allows for any alkaline earth metal oxide.

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

(e) the invention was described in-

(1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effect under this subsection of a national application published under section 122(b) only if the international application designating the United States was published under Article 21(2)(a) of such treaty in the English language; or

Application/Control Number: 09/923605

Art Unit: 1755

(2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that a patent shall not be deemed filed in the United States for the purposes of this subsection based on the filing of an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a).

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 1-5, 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a or e) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Sugimoto et al (US 6,458,734; 6,108,192 and 6,107,228) each taken alone.

Sugimoto et al teach a dielectric component including BaO-TiO-ReO where Re is a rare earth metal and a glass composition added. The glass composition includes Li<sub>2</sub>O in amounts up to 10 wt%, also with 10 and 20 wt% exemplified (see G14,G15 ('734) and G16,G17 ('228) in table 2 of both patents. Furthermore '228 teaches the addition of CuO (see Table 3) and '734 teaches the addition of both CuO and TiO<sub>2</sub> to the ceramic composition.

The claims are considered anticipated or in the alternative the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have selected the overlapping portion of the range disclosed by the prior art because overlapping ranges have been held to be a prima facie case of obvious, see In re Malagari, 182 U.S.P.Q 549.

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 1755

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl Group whose telephone number is (703)308-3821. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell, can be reached on (703)308-3823. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)872-9310, for any non-final amendment or communication, and (703)872-9311 for any after-final amendment or communication.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1755

Keg January 29, 2003